Tree Condition Report

Durham Road Play Area, Wilpshire

8th May 2017

Job Ref: 0872

Gary Marsden FDSc Arb, M.Arbor.A.

077 61 66 73 84 www.gmtreeconsultants.co.uk gary@gmtreeconsultants.co.uk







The content and format of this report are for the exclusive use of the client. It may not be sold, lent, hired out or divulged to any third party not directly involved in this subject matter without our written consent.

I hope that this report provides all the necessary information, but should any further advice be needed please do not hesitate to contact me.

Any enquiries regarding this report should be addressed to:

GM Tree Consultants 16, Farfield Drive, Lower Darwen, Darwen, Lancashire, England, BB3 ORJ.

Tel:077 61 66 73 84Email:gary@gmtreeconsultants.co.ukWeb:www.gmtreeconsultants.co.uk

. Marsde

Gary Marsden FDSc Arb M.Arbor.A

Professional Member - Arboricultural Association (AA) Professional Member - Consulting Arborist Society (CAS)



PLANNING





Table of Contents

Introd	uction	5
1.	Qualifications and experience	5
2.	Instruction	5
3.	Relevant background information	5
4.	Documents and information provided	5
5.	Scope of this report	5
6.	Mapping	6
7.	Technical references	6
Limita	tions	6
8.	Survey	6
9.	Time limit	6
10.	Tree health	7
11.	Justification of works	7
12.	Buildings	7
Site vi	sit and observations	7
13.	Site visit	7
14.	Brief site description	7
15.	Identification and location of the trees	7
16.	Systematic method of assessment	8
Condit	ion assessment	9
17.	Tree dimensions	9
18.	Tree assessment Summary	9
19.	Photos	9
Recom	nmendations	.10
20.	Present requirements:	. 10
Other	Considerations	.10
21.	Tree Preservation Order (TPO) and Conservation Area (CA)	.10
22.	Local authority details	. 11
23.	Tree works	.11
24.	Implementation of works	.11
25.	Local Arboricultural Contractors	. 11
26.	Safety	.12
27.	Statutory wildlife obligations	. 12
28.	Future considerations	.12



APPENDIX 'A'
Brief details of qualifications and experience of Gary Marsden13
APPENDIX 'B'
Site Location aerial photo taken from Google Maps showing site location
APPENDIX 'C'15
Inserted tree schedule and map showing all surveyed trees with comments and recommendations as appropriate
APPENDIX 'D'16
Correspondence with local arboricultural / planning officer16
Back cover17



Introduction

1. Qualifications and experience

I have based this report on my site observations and any provided information and I have come to conclusions in the light of my experience. I have experience and qualifications in arboriculture, and include a summary in Appendix 'A'.

2. Instruction

I am instructed by David Briffett representing Wilpshire and Salesbury Parish Council (referred to as the 'client' from here on) to inspect the significant trees located in the at Durham Road Play Area and to provide a report to fulfil the following criteria:

- A schedule of the relevant tree to include basic data, tree location and a condition assessment.
- A tree risk assessment based on relevant targets, defects and likelihood of failure.
- A schedule of any subsequent work that may be required.

3. Relevant background information

Prior to the tree inspection, my client advised me that:

- Location described as "The trees are around the perimeter of the area the equipment area trees are backing onto the rear of gardens then around the area and also the grassed area where the football post is"
- An inspection is needed as the client has "a duty of care" in ensuring the trees are as safe as reasonably possible.

4. Documents and information provided

My client provided me with copies of the following documents or information:

- Their email of instruction outlining the situation.
- Their email commissioning this report and agreeing to the T&C and cost.

5. Scope of this report

This report is only concerned with the prominent trees within or around the proximity of the site. It takes no account of any trees outside this remit or any building structural issues. It includes a preliminary assessment based on the site visit and any documents and information provided, listed in section 3 and 4 above.

The survey is based upon information that was available at the time of the inspection. Further inspections are necessary over time to give a fuller picture of the health of trees.



6. Mapping

I have not been provided with a topographical survey of the site. A digital ordnance survey map has been purchased and I have plotted the trees by the combined / individual use of land features, manual measurements, laser measurements and GPS. It is estimated that the accuracy is within 1-2m.

Site plans showing all tree locations and any relevant details can be found in Appendix 'C'.

7. Technical references

This arboricultural report is based on the following primary technical references:

- British Standards Institution (2010) BS 3998 Recommendations for tree work
- Lonsdale, D. 1999. *Principles of Tree Hazard Assessment and Management*. The Stationary Office, London.
- Lonsdale, D. 2000. *Hazards from trees. A general guide*. Forestry Commission, Edinburgh.
- Matheny, N. P. and Clark, J.R. *A photographic guide to the evaluation of hazard trees in urban areas. 2nd Edition*. International Society of Arboriculture.
- Mattheck, C, and Breloer, H. *The body language of trees A handbook for failure analysis*. The Stationary Office, London.
- Schwarze, F.W.M.R., Engels, J. and Mattheck, C. *Fungal strategies of wood decay in trees*. Springer, Berlin.
- Strouts, R.G. and Winter, T.G. 1994. *Diagnosis of ill-health in trees*. The Stationary Office, London.
- The National Tree Safety Group. 2011. *Common sense risk management of trees. Guidance on trees and public safety on the UK for owners, managers and advisers*. Forestry Commission, Edinburgh.

Limitations

8. Survey

The inspection was carried out from ground level only and relates only to arboricultural aspects. All visual observations and recommendations, relate, to the condition of the trees on the day of the survey. The trees have been assessed with the aid of a Nylon mallet for the purpose of detecting changes in resonance which may indicate that further investigation is required. Where appropriate the use of advanced decay detection methods are used, primarily a digital resitograph. Any unusual weather conditions, changes in soil, soil levels and changes to surroundings may result in a dramatic change in the trees health.

9. Time limit

Due to the changing nature of trees and other site circumstances, this report and any recommendations made are limited to a 24-month period. Any alteration to the site and any development proposals could change the current circumstances and may invalidate this report and any recommendations made.



10. Tree health

Trees are dynamic structures that can never be guaranteed 100% safe: even in good condition they can suffer damage under average conditions. Regular inspections can help to identify potential problems before they become acute.

11. Justification of works

Where management action / tree surgery are recommended, this is based on maximizing the tree's safe useful life expectancy (SULE), given its current situation or the safety of persons and surrounding targets. A lack of recommended work does not imply that a tree is safe and likewise it should not be implied that a tree would be made safe following the completion of any recommended work.

12. Buildings

This report does not consider the structural condition of existing buildings, nor the impact of existing trees on their foundations. If there are concerns over such matters the advice of a structural engineer should be sought.

Site visit and observations

13. Site visit

I carried out an unaccompanied site survey on 26/04/17. All my observations were from ground level without detailed investigations and I measured all dimensions unless otherwise indicated. I did not have access to trees outside the client's boundaries and have confined any observations to what was visible from within the client's property and any dimensions have been estimated. The weather at the time of inspection was clear, still and dry, with good visibility. I have taken various photographs of the site for reference and are kept on file, photos are added into the report only if they are needed to highlight a specific issue.

14. Brief site description

Durham Road is located in Wilpshire. The site is on the northern end of the road and surrounded by similar residential developments / other. The site consists of large grassed play area used for football etc and a fenced off children's playground. To the southern boundary there are residential gardens with open grassland to all other boundaries. No significant utility services were observed on site. No visual inspections of any services were made below ground level. The surrounding topography is relatively flat and the site is not particularly exposed. The majority of the mature trees are located to the north east boundary and are beyond the fence line of the sit, from the plans it appears that these trees are under the ownership of a 3rd party, this should be check with land registry by the client. There is no known history on this site either personal nor from a third party.

15. Identification and location of the trees

I have illustrated the locations of the significant trees on the map included in Appendix 'B'. This plan is for illustrative purposes only and it should not be used for directly scaling measurements. All the relevant information on it is contained within this report and the provided documents.



16. Systematic method of assessment

I visually inspected the significant trees and recorded the information in the table in section 18.

I stress that my inspection was of a preliminary visual tree assessment (VTA) nature and did not involve any climbing or detailed investigation beyond what was visible from accessible points at ground level.

The methodology employed in the assessment of trees undertaken by GM Tree Consultants takes into consideration the following points (but not in any particular order of importance) by firstly carrying out a Visual Tree Assessment (VTA), this includes:

- A distance visual assessment of the tree taking into account the overall shape, form, foliage colour appropriate for the time of year and any other elements that do not appear normal for that particular species.
- The exposure to the weather. This can be due to it being a solitary tree or that surrounding tree cover could have been removed exposing it to 'new wind forces' acting on the canopy.
- The prevailing ground conditions. For example: soil erosion, ponding, soil characteristics and the impact on the tree, presence / lack of vegetation.
- Any information as to the trees history or history of the surrounding trees / landscape. For example: previously failed limbs, surrounding tree removal / failure, excavations, fruiting bodies seen.
- Knowledge of previous documented information of issues with a particular species. For example: tight union failure on Beech, poor compartmentalisation of Willow.
- The health and visual defects of the tree. For example: cavities, the trees 'body language', dieback, foliage irregularities, fungal brackets and deadwood.

From this information an assessment is made of the likelihood of the part/s most likely to fail in relation to the target / occupancy value within the trees failure area and recommendations are then made, these can include the following but is not exhaustive:

- Recommendations for further visual monitoring.
- Investigation with more advanced decay detection equipment such as: Resistograph, Picus, Thermal imaging.
- Remedial pruning / limb removal.
- Whole tree removal.
- Pruning for aesthetical reasons.
- Removal of significant deadwood.
- Or, no work may be needed.

The primary reasoning behind this method of assessment is to identify a foreseeable failure, make an informed decision and act on it within a specified time and know that the response is reasonable in relation to the target area and the financial resources available.



Condition assessment

17. Tree dimensions

A detailed on site assessment of the trees can be found in the inserted survey sheets in appendix 'E'.

18. Tree assessment Summary

As a whole the trees are in good condition and none are in need of removal. Remedial pruning works are recommended, this primarily to remove any deadwood and to raise the height of the canopy branches to prevent encroachment and give clearance to head height and ride on grass cutting machines.

19. Photos









<u>Recommendations</u>

20. Present requirements:

Any works required to establish acceptable levels of risk for the site and to maintain the tree in line with good arboricultural management are listed and should be carried out within the time scale indicated.

These lists of works are designed to highlight dangerous situations and are necessary for safety reasons or to establish high levels of arboricultural management to the existing tree.

REASONING: Proactive intervention rather than reactive to failure

Other Considerations

21. Tree Preservation Order (TPO) and Conservation Area (CA)

A tree preservation order, referred to as a 'TPO', is an order made by a local planning authority ('LPA') in respect of trees or woodlands.

The principal effect of a TPO is to prohibit the: Cutting down, uprooting, topping, lopping, wilful damage, or wilful destruction of trees without the LPAs consent. The cutting of roots is potentially damaging and so, in the Secretary of State's view, requires the LPAs consent.

Anyone who, in contravention of a TPO, wilfully damages a tree in a way that is likely to destroy it is guilty of an offence. Anyone found guilty of this offence is liable, if convicted in the Magistrates Court, to a fine of up to £20,000. In serious cases a person may be committed for trial in the Crown Court and, if convicted, is liable to an unlimited fine.

Conservation Areas are areas of special architectural or historical interest with a character or appearance that is desirable to preserve or enhance. Trees may often contribute to the special character of the area.

All trees in a Conservation Area are subject to controls which enable the LPA to protect the special character of the area created by the trees. If trees have a specific Tree Preservation Order (TPO) on them, then the normal Tree Preservation Order controls apply.

You must give the LPA 6 weeks' notice, in writing, of your intention to do any work to trees in a Conservation Area. You must not carry out any work during the six week period, which starts from the date of receipt of your notification by the council, unless you receive written permission to do so.

Work which is not exempt and is carried out without formal notification or within the six week period without the written consent of the council is illegal. The LPA may prosecute offenders and fines of up to £20,000 for each tree may be imposed by the Magistrates Court in the event of offenders being convicted of an offence. If proceedings are instituted in the Crown Court fines are unlimited. There is a duty to replace any tree removed without permission.



It has been confirmed by the client that there is a Tree Preservation Order / Conservation Area in force on some or all of the trees in question. It is strongly advised that prior to undertaking any work on the tree/s written consent is granted from the local authority via an application or through the planning process.

22. Local authority details

For reference the contact details are listed below for the relevant councils planning department and / or the arboricultural (tree) officer.

Ribble Valley Borough Council Council Offices, Church Walk, Clitheroe, Lancashire, BB7 2RA Tel: 01200 425111, E-mail: webmaster@ribblevalley.gov.uk

23. Tree works

The management options noted in the survey data should be followed so to keep a maintained tree stock on and around this development site, particularly giving clearance from properties and over any adopted roads or footpaths.

24. Implementation of works

All tree works should be carried out to BS 3998 Recommendations for Tree Work as modified by more recent research. It is advisable to select a contractor from the local authority list and preferably one approved by the Arboricultural Association. Their Register of Contractors is available free from:

Arboricultural Association The Malthouse, Stroud Green, Standish, Stonehouse, Gloucestershire GL10 3DL, UK

 Tel:
 +44 (0)1242 522152

 Email:
 admin@trees.org.uk

 Website:
 www.trees.org.uk/contractors.htm

 Fax:
 +44 (0)1242 577766

25. Local Arboricultural Contractors

If requested I can provide a list of reputable arboricultural contractors that have carried out work on previous projects.



26. Safety

Tree works can be a hazardous profession, so it is important that all operatives have the necessary and relevant training, health and safety policy and valid forms of insurance.

27. Statutory wildlife obligations

The Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981 as amended by the Countryside and Rights of Way Act 2000, provide statutory protection to birds, bats and other species that inhabit trees. All tree work operations are covered by these provisions and advice from an ecologist must be obtained before undertaking any works that might constitute an offence.

28. Future considerations

Any remaining trees should be inspected on a regular basis by a qualified arboricultural consultant and should not exceed a 5 year interval.



<u>APPENDIX 'A'</u> Brief details of qualifications and experience of Gary Marsden

Qualifications:

- National Certificate in Arboriculture
- Foundation Degree In Science Arboriculture
- BTEC Higher National Diploma in Arboriculture
- Certified Expert Witness by Cardiff Law School / Bond Solon
- LANTRA Professional Tree Inspection Award

Practical experience:

After qualifying at NC level in arboriculture I gained full time employment with Blackburn with Darwen Borough Council as an Arborist / Climber (September 1998) where I gained a wide range of practical Arboricultural experience ranging from pruning, dismantling and planting.

In January 2004 I was promoted to Team Leader Arborist were I developed my skills in Arboriculture, leadership, organisation and prioritising workloads.

In August 2005 I was promoted to 'Arboricultural Officer' this job involves: Health and Safety of all Arboricultural aspects Inspection and scheduling of tree complaints Tree surveys and report writing Staff management

In July 2008 I set up my own tree consultancy company – GM Tree Consultants – which I am constantly developing and evolving.

Continuing professional development:

As a conscious effort to stay in touch with the progression in modern techniques and practices in the arboricultural industry, I attend seminars, receive regular arboricultural literature and maintain membership of professional bodies, examples of which are listed below:

- Arboricultural Association Professional Member since November 2006
- Professional Member of the Consulting Arborist Society since May 2009
- Quantified Tree Risk Assessment licensed user since October 2008
- Attendance of Arboricultural Association annual conferences
- Attendance of specialist short courses in relation to specific fields in arboriculture including: Tree Preservation Orders, Subsidence and mortgage reports, Planning legislation and Tree inspection methods and skills.
- Accredited as an Expert Witness by Cardiff University Law School / Bond Solon since December 2011

A detailed breakdown of qualifications and continued professional development training is available; please contact me directly for this information if requested.



APPENDIX 'B'

• Site Location aerial photo taken from Google Maps showing site location





APPENDIX 'C'

Inserted tree schedule and map showing all surveyed trees with comments and recommendations as appropriate



0872 Durham Road Play Area Tree Risk Assesment

Tree Number	Species
1	Sycamore
2	Willow
3	Beech
4	Ash
5	Ash
6	Ash
7	Poplar
8	Ash
9	Hawthorn
10	Poplar
11	Poplar
12	Willow
13	Poplar
14	Oak
15	Poplar
16	Poplar
17	Poplar
18	Poplar
19	Poplar
20	Poplar
21	Poplar
22	Poplar
23	Ash
24	Oak
25	Ash
26	Ash
27	Sycamore
28	Ash
29	Sycamore
30	Sycamore
31	Sycamore
32	Oak
33	Sycamore
34	Ash





Job Ref: 0872			Survey Date:		Survey Date:		Survey Date:		Survey Date:			26 April 2017				/eyor:	Gary Marsden		Site Ac	Site Address:		Durham Road Play Area, Wilpshire		MTREE	Tel: 077 6166 7384 www.gmtreeconsultants.co.uk		VTA TREE SURVEY DATA	
Type (Tree / Line / Group)	e num	Species (common)	Number of stems	Stem diameter @ 1.5m (mm)	Height (m)	Crown Spread - Diameter (m)	Life Stage y - sm - m - om - v	Physiological Condition	Structural Condition	Remaining contribution <10 - 10+ 20+ 30+ 40+	Tree quality assessment category	Root Defects	Stem Defects	Branch Defects	Crown Defects	Foliage Defects	Fungi	Target	Risk	Recommendations	Timescale (within)	Review						
т	1	Sycamore	1	350	10	8	Semi Mature	Good	Good	20+	B1	_ No significant visual root defects	_ No significant visual stem defects	_ Included bark+_ Tight union	_Low branching	_No significant visual foliage issues	No fungi visible at time of inspection	_ Road+_ Footpath+_ Play Area	Low	_ Lift crown to 3m	12mths	3yrs						
т	2	Willow	2	200;200	8	6	Semi Mature	Good	Good	20+	C1	_ No significant visual root defects	_ Co-Dominant Fork+_ Included bark+_ Multi stemmed	_ No significant visual branch defects	_ Low branching	_ No significant visual foliage issues	No fungi visible at time of inspection	_Garden+_Play Area	Low	_ Lift crown to 3m	12mths	3yrs						
т	3	Beech	1	300	8	4	Young	Good	Good	40+	C1	_ No significant visual root defects	_ Bark damage	_ No significant visual branch defects	_ No significant visual crown defects	_ No significant visual foliage issues	No fungi visible at time of inspection	_ Garden+_ Play Area	Low	_ Lift crown to 3m	24mths	3yrs						
т	4	Ash	1	600	18	14	Mature	Good	Good	20+	B1	_ No significant visual root defects	_ Co-Dominant Fork	_ No significant visual branch defects	_Low branching+_ Minor deadwood <25mm dia	_ No significant visual foliage issues	No fungi visible at time of inspection	_ Garden+_ Open Space+_ Play Area	Low	_ Lift crown to 3m	12mths	12mths						
т	5	Ash	1	800	18	14	Mature	Good	Good	20+	B1	_ No significant visual root defects	_ No significant visual stem defects	_ No significant visual branch defects	_ Moderate deadwood 25- 100mm dia	_No significant visual foliage issues	No fungi visible at time of inspection	_ Garden+_ Open Space+_ Play Area	Low	_ Remove dead wood	6mths	12mths						
т	6	Ash	1	1000	18	14	Mature	Good	Good	20+	B1	_ No significant visual root defects	_No significant visual stem defects	_ No significant visual branch defects+_ Heavy branch loading	_ Hanging limb+_ Low branching+_ Moderate deadwood 25- 100mm dia	_No significant visual foliage issues	No fungi visible at time of inspection	_ Open Space+_ Play Area	Low	_ Remove dead wood+_ Lift crown to 3m	6mths	12mths						
т	7	Poplar	1	600	10	8	Semi Mature	Good	Poor	10+	C1	_ No significant visual root defects	_Lost main stem	_ No significant visual branch defects	_ Minor deadwood <25mm dia	_No significant visual foliage issues	No fungi visible at time of inspection	_ Open Space+_ Play Area	Low	_No work required at time of survey	5yrs	12mths						
т	8	Ash	1	400	10	6	Semi Mature	Good	Poor	20+	C1	_ No significant visual root defects	_ Multi stemmed	_ No significant visual branch defects	_Suppressed canopy+_Low branching+_Minor deadwood <25mm dia	_ No significant visual foliage issues	No fungi visible at time of inspection	_ Open Space+_ Play Area	Low	_ Remove dead wood+_ Lift crown to 3m	6mths	12mths						
т	9	Hawthorn	3	100;100;1 00	6	6	Semi Mature	Good	Good	20+	C1	_ No significant visual root defects	_ No significant visual stem defects	Crossing/rubbing	_Low branching+_ Minor deadwood <25mm dia	_ No significant visual foliage issues	No fungi visible at time of inspection	_ Open Space+_ Play Area	Low	_ Lift crown to 3m	6mths	12mths						
т	10	Poplar	1	750	18	14	Mature	Good	Good	20+	B1	_ No significant visual root defects	_ No significant visual stem defects	_ No significant visual branch defects	_ No significant visual crown defects	_No significant visual foliage issues	No fungi visible at time of inspection	_ Open Space+_ Play Area	Low	_ No work required at time of survey	5yrs	12mths						
т	11	Poplar	1	750	18	14	Mature	Good	Good	20+	B1	_ No significant visual root defects	_ No significant visual stem defects	_ No significant visual branch defects	_ No significant visual crown defects	_No significant visual foliage issues	No fungi visible at time of inspection	_ Open Space+_ Play Area	Low	_ No work required at time of survey	5yrs	12mths						
т	12	Willow	5	100x5	8	6	Semi Mature	Good	Poor	10+	C1	_ No significant visual root defects	_ Co-Dominant Fork+_ Multi stemmed	_ Weak attachments	_Low branching	_No significant visual foliage issues	No fungi visible at time of inspection	_ Play Area	Moderate	_ Remove tree to ground level	6mths	5yrs						
т	13	Poplar	1	1000	18	14	Mature	Good	Good	20+	B1	_ No significant visual root defects	_ No significant visual stem defects	_ No significant visual branch defects	_Low branching+_ Minor deadwood <25mm dia	_ No significant visual foliage issues	No fungi visible at time of inspection	_ Open Space+_ Play Area	Low	_ Lift crown to 3m	6mths	12mths						
т	14	Oak	1	150	6	4	Young	Good	Good	40+	C1	_ No significant visual root defects	_ No significant visual stem defects	_ No significant visual branch defects	_ No significant visual crown defects	_No significant visual foliage issues	No fungi visible at time of inspection	_ Play Area	Low	_ No work required at time of survey	5yrs	12mths						
т	15	Poplar	1	750	18	8	Mature	Good	Good	20+	B1	_ No significant visual root defects	_ No significant visual stem defects	_ No significant visual branch defects	_Low branching+_ Minor deadwood <25mm dia	_ No significant visual foliage issues	No fungi visible at time of inspection	_ Open Space+_ Play Area	Low	_ Lift crown to 3m	6mths	12mths						

Job Ref:	08//			Survey Date:		Survey Date:		Survey Date:		26 April 2017				veyor:	Gar	y Marsden	Site Ad	Site Address:		ad Play Area, shire	G Co	MTREE	Tel: 07 www.gmtree	VTA TREE SURVEY DATA	
Type (Tree / Line / Group)	Tree number	Species (common)	Number of stems	Stem diameter @ 1.5m (mm)	Height (m)	Crown Spread - Diameter (m)	Life Stage y - sm - m - om - v	Physiological Condition	Structural Condition	Remaining contribution <10 - 10+ 20+ 30+ 40+	Tree quality assessment category	Root Defects	Stem Defects	Branch Defects	Crown Defects	Foliage Defects	Fungi	Target	Risk	Recommendations	Timescale (within)	Review			
т	16	Poplar	1	750	18	10	Mature	Good	Good	20+	B1	_ No significant visual root defects	_ No significant visual stem defects	_ No significant visual branch defects	_No significant visual crown defects	_ No significant visual foliage issues	No fungi visible at time of inspection	_ Open Space+_ Play Area	Low	_ No work required at time of survey	5yrs	12mths			
Т	17	Poplar	1	750	18	10	Mature	Good	Good	20+	B1	_ No significant visual root defects	_ No significant visual stem defects	_ No significant visual branch defects	_No significant visual crown defects	_No significant visual foliage issues	No fungi visible at time of inspection	_ Open Space+_ Play Area	Low	_ No work required at time of survey	5yrs	12mths			
Т	18	Poplar	1	750	18	10	Mature	Good	Good	20+	B1	_ No significant visual root defects	_ No significant visual stem defects	_ No significant visual branch defects	_No significant visual crown defects	_No significant visual foliage issues	No fungi visible at time of inspection	_ Open Space+_ Play Area	Low	_ No work required at time of survey	5yrs	12mths			
Т	19	Poplar	1	750	18	10	Mature	Good	Good	20+	B1	_ No significant visual root defects	_ No significant visual stem defects	_ No significant visual branch defects	_ No significant visual crown defects	_No significant visual foliage issues	No fungi visible at time of inspection	_ Open Space+_ Play Area	Low	_ No work required at time of survey	5yrs	12mths			
Т	20	Poplar	1	750	18	10	Mature	Good	Good	20+	B1	_ No significant visual root defects	_ No significant visual stem defects	_ No significant visual branch defects	_ No significant visual crown defects	_No significant visual foliage issues	No fungi visible at time of inspection	_ Open Space+_ Play Area	Low	_ No work required at time of survey	5yrs	12mths			
Т	21	Poplar	1	750	18	10	Mature	Good	Good	20+	B1	_ No significant visual root defects	_ No significant visual stem defects	_ No significant visual branch defects	_ No significant visual crown defects	_ No significant visual foliage issues	No fungi visible at time of inspection	_ Open Space+_ Play Area	Low	_ No work required at time of survey	5yrs	12mths			
Т	22	Poplar	1	750	18	10	Mature	Good	Good	20+	B1	_ No significant visual root defects	_ No significant visual stem defects	_ No significant visual branch defects	_ No significant visual crown defects	_No significant visual foliage issues	No fungi visible at time of inspection	_ Open Space+_ Play Area	Low	_ No work required at time of survey	5yrs	12mths			
т	23	Ash	1	250	10	8	Semi Mature	Good	Good	40+	B1	_ No significant visual root defects	_ No significant visual stem defects	_ No significant visual branch defects	_ No significant visual crown defects+_ Low branching+_ Minor deadwood <25mm dia	_ No significant visual foliage issues	No fungi visible at time of inspection	_ Open Space+_ Play Area	Low	_ Remove dead wood+_ Lift crown to 3m	6mths	12mths			
т	24	Oak	1	800	16	16+	Mature	Good	Good	20+	A1	_ No significant visual root defects	_ No significant visual stem defects	_ No significant visual branch defects	_ Low branching+_ Moderate deadwood 25- 100mm dia	_ No significant visual foliage issues	No fungi visible at time of inspection	_ Open Space+_ Play Area	Low	_ Remove dead wood+_ Lift crown to 5m	6mths	12mths			
т	25	Ash	1	450	12	8	Semi Mature	Good	Fair	10+	C1	_ No significant visual root defects	_ Bark damage +_ Stem decay	_ Branch decay	_ Low branching+_ Moderate deadwood 25- 100mm dia	_ No significant visual foliage issues	No fungi visible at time of inspection	_ Open Space+_ Play Area	Moderate	_ Remove dead wood+_ Lift crown to 3m	6mths	12mths			
Т	26	Ash	1	500	12	8	Semi Mature	Good	Good	20+	B1	_ No significant visual root defects	_ No significant visual stem defects	_No significant visual branch defects	_ No significant visual crown defects	_ No significant visual foliage issues	No fungi visible at time of inspection	_ Open Space+_ Play Area	Low	_ No work required at time of survey	5yrs	12mths			
Т	27	Sycamore	1	350	12	6	Semi Mature	Good	Fair	20+	C2	_ No significant visual root defects	_ No significant visual stem defects	_ No significant visual branch defects	_ Suppressed canopy+_ Low branching	_ No significant visual foliage issues	No fungi visible at time of inspection	_ Open Space+_ Play Area	Low	_ No work required at time of survey	5yrs	12mths			
т	28	Ash	1	350	12	6	Semi Mature	Good	Fair	20+	C2	_ No significant visual root defects	_ No significant visual stem defects	_ No significant visual branch defects	_Low branching+_ Minor deadwood <25mm dia	_ No significant visual foliage issues	No fungi visible at time of inspection	_ Open Space+_ Play Area	Low	_ No work required at time of survey	5yrs	12mths			
т	29	Sycamore	1	450	16	10	Semi Mature	Good	Good	20+	B1	_ No significant visual root defects	_ No significant visual stem defects	_ No significant visual branch defects	_ Low branching+_ Minor deadwood <25mm dia	_ No significant visual foliage issues	No fungi visible at time of inspection	_ Open Space+_ Play Area	Low	_ No work required at time of survey	5yrs	12mths			
т	30	Sycamore	1	600	16	10	Mature	Good	Good	20+	B1	_ No significant visual root defects	_ No significant visual stem defects	_ No significant visual branch defects	_ Low branching+_ Moderate deadwood 25- 100mm dia	_ No significant visual foliage issues	No fungi visible at time of inspection	_ Dwelling +_ Garden+_ Open Space+_ Play Area	Low	_ Remove/sever ivy	6mths	12mths			

Job Ref			2 Survey Date:			26 April 2017			Surv	eyor:	Gary Marsden		Site Address:		Durham Road Play Area, Wilpshire		GMTREE CONSULTANTS		Tel: 077 6166 7384 www.gmtreeconsultants.co.uk		VTA TREE SURVEY DATA	
Type (Tree / Line / Group)	ee num	Species (common)	Number of stems	Stem diameter @ 1.5m (mm)	Height (m)	Crown Spread - Diameter (m)	Life Stage y - sm - m - om - v	Physiological Condition	Structural Condition	Remaining contribution <10 - 10+ 20+ 30+ 40+	Tree quality assessment category	Root Defects	Stem Defects	Branch Defects	Crown Defects	Foliage Defects	Fungi	Target	Risk	Recommendations	Timescale (within)	Review
т	31	Sycamore	1	200	12	5	Young	Good	Poor	10+	C1	_ No significant visual root defects	_ No significant visual stem defects	_ No significant visual branch defects	_ Suppressed canopy+_ Minor deadwood <25mm dia	_ No significant visual foliage issues	No fungi visible at time of inspection	_ Dwelling +_ Garden+_ Open Space+_ Play Area	Low	_Remove/sever ivy+_ Remove dead wood	6mths	12mths
т	32	Oak	1	350	14	5	Semi Mature	Good	Fair	20+	B1	_ No significant visual root defects	_ No significant visual stem defects	_ No significant visual branch defects	_ Low branching+_ Minor deadwood <25mm dia	_ No significant visual foliage issues	No fungi visible at time of inspection	_ Dwelling +_ Garden+_ Open Space+_ Play Area	Low	_ Remove/sever ivy+_ Remove dead wood	6mths	12mths
т	33	Sycamore	1	500	16	10	Mature	Good	Good	20+	B1	_ No significant visual root defects	_ Co-Dominant Fork	_ No significant visual branch defects	_ Low branching+_ Minor deadwood <25mm dia	No significant visual foliage issues	No fungi visible at time of inspection	_ Dwelling +_ Garden+_ Open Space+_ Play Area	Low	_ Remove/sever ivy	6mths	12mths
Т	34	Ash	1	450		10	Semi Mature	Good	Good	20+	B1	_ No significant visual root defects	_ No significant visual stem defects	_ No significant visual branch defects	_ Minor deadwood <25mm dia	_ No significant visual foliage issues	No fungi visible at time of inspection	_ Dwelling +_ Garden+_ Open Space+_ Play Area	Low	_ Remove/sever ivy	6mths	12mths



APPENDIX 'D'

Correspondence with local arboricultural / planning officer

Alex Shutt the councils Arb Officer is aware of this survey being undertaken



BS 5837 Surveys

Arboricultural Impact Assessments

Arboricultural Method Statements

Site Supervision

Visual Tree Assessments

QTRA Assessments

Expert Witness Reports

L.O.L.E.R Thorough Equipment Inspections

Mortgage Reports

TPO applications and advice

